

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,669	06/12/2006	Kotaro Shima	128332	5007	
25944 OLIFF & BEF	7590 02/24/200 PRIDGE PLC	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L		
ALEXANDRI	LEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1 1		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/582,669	SHIMA, KOTARO	)
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VICTOR MACARTHUR	3679	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) <u>VICTOR MACARTHUR</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>LENWOOD FAULCON</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 February 2009	Time: <u>4:10PM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ⊠ Telephonic  □ Video Conference  □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes  If Yes, provide a brief description: □ No	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: ALL			
Claims discussed: 1-4			
Prior art documents discussed: ART OF RECORD			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate ndirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.      It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate ndid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Victor MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/582,669

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner noted that the suggested amendment filed 2/3/209 had antecedent basis and double inclusion problems. The examiner suggested an examiner's amendment including the following further changes: Deleting "in the rotation direction" (line 18 of proposed claim 1), Replacing "deformation porition of the ball seat"(lines 18-19 of proposed claim 1) with —annular resin elements—; and canceling claims 2-4. The applicant's representative agreed to contact the applicant to request approval for such further amendment.